



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/001,289 11/01/2001		Michael Rys	MSFT-0766/191575.1	2147		
41505 7	7590 05/16/2005		EXAMINER			
	K WASHBURN LLP Y PLACE - 46TH FLOOR	RAYYAN, SUSAN F				
	IIA, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2167	<u> </u>		
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
			10/001,289		RYS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		-	Examiner		Art Unit				
			Susan F. Ra	yyan	2167				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appe	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHO THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ions of time may be available under the provisions IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (ions eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum s to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months I patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. 30) days, a reply w tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	6(a). In no event within the statuto Il apply and will e cause the applica	however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tition to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>11/23/</u>	<u>′04</u> .						
2a)⊠ ⊺	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	on of Claims								
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	on Papers								
10)⊠ T	the specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on <u>01 November</u> Applicant may not request that any objected the properties of the country of the oath or declaration is objected the specific of the oath or declaration is objected the oath of the oath oath oath oath oath oath oath oath	e <u>r 2001</u> is/are ection to the dr g the correctio	e: a)⊠ acc rawing(s) be on is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[cknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationse the attached detailed Office actions	documents documents of the priorit	have been have been ty documen (PCT Rule	received. received in Application ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage _.			
Attachment(•								
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o No(s)/Mail Date) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal P) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/001,289

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Amendment filed on November 23, 2004 has been considered.

2. Claims 1-32 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (US 2002/0169788).

As per independent claims 1,13 Lee anticipates:

identifying a first node within the hierarchical data corresponding to a first column in the relational table and second node associated with the first node corresponding to data to be stored in a row of the table corresponding to the first column at paragraph 96; creating a record in a first buffer associated with the first relational table at paragraph 108;

and copying the record from the first buffer to the first relational table at paragraph 110, lines 4-6.

Lee teaches identifying a first node within the hierarchical data corresponding to a first column in the relational table and second node associated with the first node

Application/Control Number: 10/001,289

Art Unit: 2167

corresponding to data to be stored in a row of the table corresponding to the first column, creating a record in a first buffer associated with the first relational table and copying the record from the first buffer to the first relational table at paragraph 96.108.110.

As per claim 2 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates: wherein the relational table is part of a relational database at paragraph 99, lines 5-7.

As per claim 3 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates: wherein the hierarchical data is XML data at paragraph 96.

As per claim 4 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates: wherein the hierarchical data is identified to correspond to a column in the relational table by way of a hierarchical schema at paragraph 54, 96.

As per claim 5 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates: wherein the buffer associated with first table is created after determining that the parent node of the first node within the hierarchical data is associated with the first relational table at paragraph 54, 110.

As per claim 6 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates: further comprising a second relational table at paragraph 105.

As per claim 7 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates: further comprising creating a second buffer corresponding to the second relational table when a third node within the hierarchal data corresponds to a second relational table at paragraph 54, 110.

Art Unit: 2167

As per claim 8 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates: creating a record in the second buffer associated with the second relational table when a child node of the third node indicates that the hierarchical data comprises data associated with a column in the second relational table at paragraphs 54, 108; and copying the record from the second buffer to the second relational table at paragraph 110.

As per claim 9 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates: further comprising copying the record from the first buffer to the first relational table substantially in parallel to copying the record from the second buffer to the second relational table at paragraph 110.

As per claim 10 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates: wherein the first relational table has a relational relationship with the second relational table paragraph 83.

As per claim 11 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates: wherein the first buffer comprises a disk file at paragraph 110.

As per claim 12 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates: wherein the hierarchical data comprises an XML document at paragraph 96.

Art Unit: 2167

As per independent claims 14,24-25 Lee anticipates:

receiving a schema describing a relationship of nodes in the hierarchical data to at least one column in each of the at least two relational tables, mapping the hierarchical data based on the schema and creating records from the hierarchical data from nodes associated identified as data to be stored in the at least one column in each of the at least two relational tables, and streaming the records into the at least two relational tables at paragraph 54-55, 83, and 99.

Lee teaches receiving a schema describing a relationship of nodes in the hierarchical data to at least one column in each of the at least two relational tables, mapping the hierarchical data based on the schema and creating records from the hierarchical data from nodes associated identified as data to be stored in the at least one column in each of the at least two relational tables and streaming the records into the at least two relational tables at paragraph 54-55, 83, and 99.

As per claims 15-16, 26-27 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates: further comprising creating a buffer for each of the at least two relational tables wherein the records are stored before being streamed into the at least two relational tables at paragraph 110, lines 4-6.

As per claim 17 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates: wherein the hierarchical data is not size constrained at paragraph 83.

As per claims 18,28 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates: wherein the hierarchical data comprises an XML document at paragraph 96.

As per claims 19,29 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates:

wherein the schema comprises an XML schema at paragraph 83.

As per claims 20,30 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates:

wherein the at least two tables have a relational relationship at paragraph 83, 87.

As per claims 21,31 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates: wherein one of the at least one columns is a key field in one of the at least two tables and foreign key in the other one of the at least two tables, wherein the method further comprises populating records associated with the at least two tables with the data associates with the one of the at least one columns at paragraph 54, 99.

As per claims 22,32 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates: further comprising streaming the record into the at least two relational tables substantially in parallel at paragraph 110.

As per claim 23 same as claim arguments above and Lee anticipates: wherein the schema is received by way of a network connection at paragraph 83.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed November 23, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicant argues Lee makes no mention of nodes or rows or columns of a table and reveals no mention of record creation or buffers or copying from buffers to tables. However Lee does teach this at parg. 96 and 110, lines 4-6. Lee teaches the XML data

Art Unit: 2167

is loaded into a table which inherently consists of rows and columns. Lee teaches a loader which loads the XML data contained in the document into the tables of a relational database.

7. Applicant argues Lee does not teach mapping the hierarchical data based on schema ... however Lee does teach this at parg. 54-55, 83 and 99 as cited in the rejection above, a relational schema is created out of a DTD.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

78. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Rayyan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4117. The examiner can normally be reached M-F: 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107 The fax phone numbers for

Application/Control Number: 10/001,289

Art Unit: 2167

Page 8

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for Official communications, (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications and (703) 746-7240 for Status inquires and draft communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2100.

Susan Rayyan

May 9, 2005

Primary Examiner